

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

In attendance were Vice Chairman Evelyn Miller, Amy Pollock, David Nieman, Barbara Schult—alternate, and Collis Adams—Selectmen’s Representative,

Evelyn Miller called the meeting to order at 7 pm. She asked the Board to introduce themselves.

**Review/Presentation of Wetlands Impact Permit Application for the Goffstown High School, Wallace Road, Map 6 Lots 97 & 98**

Dan Tatem, from Stantec Consulting, presented for SAU 19. He said he has Ray Labore—SAU 19 Business Administrator, and Tom Sokoloski—Wetland Scientist, with him. He displayed a plan for the Commission to see and gave a summary of the project: *“The school has been experiencing major flooding issues and resulting damage for a number of years now. Due to the continued damage claims, the school’s insurance premiums have increased over \$40,000, annually. In addition, the off-site storm water flows that pass through the school site also cause considerable downstream flooding that the Town and DPW have been dealing with for years. There are two 24” culverts and one 18” culvert that direct storm water from Wallace Road and several side roads and subdivisions that are on the other side of Wallace Road. This off-site storm water then passes through the school site, causing significant flooding with all storms greater than the 2-year event (minor flooding at the 5-year and significant flooding at any storm events above the 5-year). The only place the School has to hold back the off-site storm water is directly south of the southern access road (dedicated exit) for the school. We are also restricted by the utility easement that runs through that area. Considering the fact that a majority of this flow is roadway runoff that is directed into this wetland through the roadway cross culverts, with little to no water quality measures, The school anticipates that the installation of the detention basin will slow the water, allowing a significant amount of sediment removal, thus cleaning the water that is sent towards the currently flooding back yards of the neighbors, directly north of the school. In addition, this will reduce the additional downstream culvert flooding issues. They estimate the wetland impact to be less than 9,000 square feet. Both of the swales that are to the north of the proposed detention basin appear to be man-made drainage swales constructed as part of the original school construction. Mitigation as part of the improvements - Considering the flooding issues that both the school and the Town are experiencing, the proposed drainage improvements will serve as a significant mitigation on their own. Currently, there are several culverts that are undersized, causing flooding and erosion issues both on the school property and downstream of the school. When these culverts flood, the storm water flows over the roads, driveways, and land, causing additional sediment transport and deposit the sediment into the wetlands downstream. The improvements will also provide detention, allowing a significant amount of the sediment that is transported from upstream of the school to be deposited into the proposed detention basin, thus improving the water quality. The stabilization of the constructed stream channel will also significantly reduce the erosion that is currently experiences during most major rain events.”*

Evelyn Miller asked if the slopes are steeper since they compressed the detention pond.

Dan Tatem said they are. They will be 2:1 instead of 4:1, and will be riprap lined. The detention pond itself, with its flat bottom, may establish itself and become wetland. However, the side slopes will not. We need them to be stable. Staying out of the wetlands we did test pits. There is ledge anywhere from 2-4 feet down. We’ll be blasting that hole anyway. The side slopes could be almost vertical ledge.

Evelyn Miller asked if they thought about snow removal. They will probably need to have rails up with kids driving back there.

Dan Tatem pointed out on the plan where the guardrails would be. They have provided a 24 foot road with a 4 foot shoulder with the guardrails back for snow removal purposes. It will basically be a full

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

roadway design. We have talked to DPW. We'll be putting guardrail on a town road and want to make sure we satisfy everyone's needs.

Ray Labore, Business Administrator at SAU 19, reviewed the financial impacts related to the school district with the flooding problem they have at the high school. The first major event was the Mother's Day flood of 2006. We ended up losing the gymnasium floor, and we also lost a significant amount of sheetrock and drywall that was on the first floor of the building. Mitigation was to cut it out and patch it up, to put new rug down in the office areas and classroom areas, and \$70,000 for a brand new gym floor. They had a second event two years later. While they didn't lose sheetrock, they lost the two year old gym floor. Insurance rates have increased from just under \$90,000 to just over \$141,000 per year. We've been put on notice by the carriers that the rates will stay there until we do something to mitigate the flooding issue. There have been a couple of approaches. One was underwater storage, which we cannot afford. We have been working with DPW—with Adam Jacobs and Meghan Theriault. Widening of the road on the south side of the property is something that will work for the school and for the town. One of the thoughts was to have students enter the parking area using that entrance. That would take 38-42 cars off of Mast Road each morning. We've decided to do this project all in one shot. We would rather aim the additional insurance premiums to educational programs. We ask for your favorable consideration this evening.

David Nieman asked if the road, and the 38-42 cars, would reduce the back up to the roundabout.

Ray Labore said the way they enter now is in the north entrance, which tends to back up. If we send that to the south entrance, we also have one off of Wallace Road, which will take more cars off of Mast Road.

Evelyn Miller said she suspects a lot of the flooding started when the parking lots went in behind.

Collis Adams said it didn't help.

Dan Tatem said the school has decided to go after some FEMA grant money. It is a very viable hope and a significant funding source. They would need the next 6 months to get the funding. They were hoping to get the work done this summer to reduce the insurance premium. They decided to go after the grant money for potential construction in 2017. The rush we had is off. We are not asking DES for expedited. We are still getting things done as quickly as we can so we can go to FEMA with the plans, DES permit and town approval.

Evelyn Miller said the school sits at the toe of big slopes and we've always had water problems. We had a proposed big project that didn't go in yet. Who knows what will go in behind there? She wonders if there is any more development in that area taking away absorption fields. Will it be designed to take care of anything that might become bigger?

Dan Tatem said what is different in the regulations compared to the 1960's is that new development has to keep the same run-off or reduce it on their own property. We are taking the numbers that are leaving the site, where the run-off goes to Park Lane and then under the state road. We are cutting the flows off the site almost in half. This is a project for the school but will greatly benefit the town. Adam Jacobs gave it a big thumbs up. DPW asked if there was somewhere else the water could be detained other than the wetlands. They looked at it and maybe they could re-route the 24 inch pipes down the

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

side of Wallace Road, and store the water somewhere else. They don't own any land and would have to purchase property to make it work. The cost doesn't make it feasible.

Evelyn Miller said above the parking lots there is a large area that has had developments proposed. If, in the future, something happens there, you are saying because of the new regulations, they have to take care of their own water.

Dan Tatem said there is a high point. He pointed out the field behind the school building, saying it is a plateau. If there is any flooding in that area, the flow will go to an area that doesn't have flooding issues. The only thing we might see is development further up on toward Stacey Lane. There are 59 acres and the way the natural land is, there isn't much development that could go on there. It won't be an issue.

Tom Solokoski said he went out and flagged the wetland boundaries in the proposed impact areas, as well as along the parking lot on the northern side. The wetlands extends parallel to that parking lot boundary on the northern side. He pointed out where the detention basin is going to go and where there is a forested wetland with an intermittent stream. There is a powerline right-of-way that is just off the work area. The species he found were typical; nothing unusual was seen. There is a storm water management system S shaped swale that was put in about the time as the parking lot. It runs off into forested wetland beyond the softball field. There is wetland behind the softball field as well. The alternatives we reviewed with federal agencies and DES were costly items that were determined not to be feasible, such as underground storage is costly. Creating a detention basin between the high school and Wallace Road was explored. That didn't have sufficient area to accommodate the floods expected. We did a field investigation regarding cutting away a drainage way that would take away the excess flows. There are a number of problems with that. Cost is an issue. We wouldn't know where the water would go. There would need to be drainage easements and outright purchases of land. Those waters would eventually go off to Mast Road to a state owned section of the highway. In determining the impact area, we considered a number of alternatives and found this is the one that meets project goals, is economically viable, and reduces environmental impact. We brought the area of impact down to 9,800 square feet. If it were in excess of 10,000 we would have to provide mitigation. We've arrived at what we think is the least impacting that meets the project goals. We have a nearly complete permit application package. We looked for rare species. There was a potential hit in the area but it was determined there is no impact to the resource.

Collis Adams said there is a policy at work that he can't work on projects in his own home town. When this came to his desk he had to pass it off. He doesn't feel like he can comment since he couldn't comment at work.

Evelyn Miller suggested a site walk. She would be concerned about snow removal. But there is four feet on either side.

Collis Adams said we can recommend to the Planning Board they take a look at snow storage areas.

David Nieman said he'd like to clearly spell out what they are looking for from the Conservation Commission. Are they looking for comments to the Planning Board? To DES?

Collis Adams said we'll have an opportunity to comment to DES once the application is in.

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Dan Tatem said they are hoping to get a signature on the application from the Conservation Commission as well as from the clerk. Then they can send it to the state. That will reduce the time at the state if they are aware that we already met with the Conservation Commission and you are okay with it.

Evelyn Miller clarified their application isn't going to the Planning Board but to the State.

Dan Tatem said yes. The Town Engineer hasn't recommended we go to the Planning Board. We will go from here to the state.

Collis Adams said municipal projects aren't required to go to the Planning Board for approval. They do typically go as a courtesy to get comments. When the application is filed we will have the opportunity to comment to DES.

David Nieman said many comments will be more applicable after the site walk. He likes the idea of so many things being taken care of in this project. The traffic flow pattern, safety for the parking lot, and drainage issues. It's a well thought out plan.

Evelyn Miller told the applicants they would be contacted regarding a site walk date and time.

Dan Tatem said there are plans to make the high school an emergency shelter. You can't have a shelter that floods because flooding is the number one emergency in NH. That is another benefit to the repair.

David Nieman asked if there was any possibility of getting funds for the stream restoration aspect of the project.

Dan Tatem said yes. They fund certain aspects to the project. It's to address the flooding. If we have to do a stream restoration to get a DES permit it would be considered part of the project. He thinks there is a good potential they would fund most of that as well. We will help the school with that application. We will ask for as much as we can get.

**Review of a Proposed Wetlands Crossings for Two Proposed Driveways Within a Proposed Lot Line Adjustment & Four Lot Subdivision off Addison Road & Kennedy Hill Road, Owner: Richard Lamb Rev Trust. (Continued from April 27<sup>th</sup> Meeting for Commission to Site walk.)**

Patty Gale said this application is scheduled to go in front of the Planning Board on June 9.

Tim Ferwood, of Meridian Land Services, presented. He distributed the five copies of the application he would be sending to the State, and said they need the Conservation Commission's signature if they are all set with it. It's a minimum expedited permit. From there he will give it to the Town Clerk to sign. He wanted each one to have a package in case there is something they want to look at in there. We had a site walk about a week after we were here for the last meeting. This is going to be five lots total. Sheet 7 has the wetland crossings on it. Map 3 Lot 27-2 will have no changes made to the crossing there. There is a perennial stream that flows southerly across Kennedy Hill Road. The stream crossing is on the driveway for Map 3 Lot 27-1. There will be a 42 inch culvert. He believes there is a 30 inch culvert under Kennedy Hill Road. It has been sized to pass the 50 year storm. We've also moved the driveway north out of the larger wetland buffer. The driveway is entirely out of the buffer. The riprap and culvert

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

is in the buffer. By moving it up we created another impact of 50 square feet. He believes it will be a temporary impact. It is going to be a cut and will remain a wetland after the work is done. The total of permanent impact is 2785 square feet, and 50 square feet of temporary impact. The isolated wetland is not a vernal pool.

Evelyn Miller said she knows there is a lot of water there. She'd like to know what the lots look like to see how much land they have.

Tim Fernwood said sheet 1 has the entire project all in one sheet. There are three new lots.

Patty Gale said there will be a lot line adjustment between two lots, and then one lot will become bigger and subdivided into four lots. They originally came in for their wetlands impact permit because they would have two driveways in the wetlands buffer.

Tim Fernwood said on the other driveway they have less of a stream crossing. It will have less of an impact and be up by the wall.

Tim Fernwood said the crossings are necessary because the uplands that are between the brook and the road, once you take the 100 foot setback for the brook and the building setback for the road, there is no buildable area up front on these lots. The only buildable areas require crossing the stream or wetland to get to them.

Patty Gale said they have to submit comments to the Planning Board, which is why they are looking at the whole project.

Tim Fernwood said we are really creating three new building lots. Test pits have been done and they found suitable areas.

Evelyn Miller asked how much area is in Map 3 Lot 27-2. It is 5.4 acres. What is the buildable area? That is sufficient for a house and yard.

Collis Adams said pages 5 and 6 shows the lots and buildable areas.

Patty Gale said proposed lots 27-1 and 27-2 will impact the wetlands. The rest of them have a dry access.

Evelyn Miller said there was a site walk done on this and we got a response from Kimberly Peace, who had walked it with Chuck Freiburger and Susan Tucker. They requested they shift the driveway away from the buffer of the eastern most wetland, which they've done. It is a fair trade off to have a slightly larger wetlands impact to avoid additional stream impact. Her (Evelyn Miller) concern is that this is Kimberly Peace's comment. They don't have a consensus from the three who did the site walk. When she has conducted site walks in the past, they get together to discuss their input and submit comments that are approved by all who walked the site. She is uncomfortable with that.

Collis Adams said this email is from yesterday. We could ask the others if they concur. That would be sufficient to move forward.

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Patty Gale said she can hold these documents to be signed if that is the case.

Collis Adams asked how the 42 inch culvert will be placed.

Tim Fernwood said the 42 inch culvert is at grade in the stream bed. The road is raised up over the stream. Sheet 8 has the profile for that driveway. It is a perennial stream.

Evelyn Miller said there is a lot of run off in the spring.

Tim Fernwood said a 50 year storm has been modeled through the culvert. That stream is 428 in the center of the road. The driveway will be at 439. It will be 10 feet above the bottom of the stream.

Collis Adams said his concern is if it is a new crossing of a perennial stream, it has to meet the DES stream crossing guidelines. If it is at grade it's not going to do it.

Tim Fernwood said it is a Tier 1 stream crossing—under 200 hundred acres. By the stream crossing rules we can use a culvert.

Evelyn Miller said she was wondering about a bridge.

Amy Pollock asked where those figures came from. The proposal is an HDPE pipe.

Evelyn Miller said up from the road from the culvert it looks like there is another pipe. That goes right up to the edge of the 50 foot setback.

Tim Fernwood said it is a riprap outlet. When there is a storm event the area will overflow. The riprap will slow it down as it comes out of the pipe.

Collis Adams asked how wide the stream is.

Tim Fernwood said it is between 5-1/2 and 6 feet.

Evelyn Miller said it that's a good stream. It's not like a run-off gully.

Patty Gale asked if they met with DPW regarding the driveway crossing.

Tim Fernwood said no.

Patty Gale said you might want to talk with Meghan, the Town Engineer. She may have concerns with the proposed drainage.

Amy Pollock said this permit application was just put together and she doesn't feel comfortable signing it today.

Collis Adams said it's an unnamed stream. What is it a tributary to?

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Tim Fernwood said it is unnamed and doesn't show on a USGS map as a stream. There is a pond off site downstream.

Amy Pollock said you said earlier there is no vernal pool but it states in a letter here that there is a vernal pool in the forested wetland.

Tim Fernwood said there is a wetland to the very southeast near the powerlines. He pointed out on page 6 where it is. There is no planned development near that wetland.

Collis Adams said he thinks we should site walk it. His concern is about the perennial stream.

Evelyn Miller said it doesn't sound to her like those who did the site walk came to a joint decision.

Collis Adams said they are asking us to sign off on this because it's an expedited minimum.

Patty Gale said when they first came in they didn't have the full subdivision plans. But they submitted the subdivision plans on Friday so she brought it all in.

Collis Adams said if and when we sign off on it, it means it will go to the state for a 30 day review process, unless the state finds it doesn't qualify for a minimum impact review. They are going to look at fish hatcheries any time it's a perennial stream.

Evelyn Miller said we need to do a site walk for those who haven't walked the property.

David Nieman said one of the things we commented on before was about the driveway being in the buffer. He's happy that was taken seriously and addressed. On the other hand, this is a lot to digest when you haven't seen it before. It's hard to want to sign off on it when you aren't entirely sure of what's within it.

Evelyn Miller said we don't have a report from the first site walk. The other thing is this request is just for these two crossings, but we will be submitted the whole plan for it to go before the Planning Board. You are asking us to just respond to this and later respond to the whole plan.

Collis Adams said the comments from Kimberly Peace talk about minimizing crossing, but he wants to look at the design of the crossing. It may be at the least impacting location, but is the design something we are comfortable with. If we are talking about putting a 5-1/2 to 6 foot stream through a 42 inch pipe, it's not even as wide as the stream.

Evelyn Miller said Susan Tucker, who was one who walked the site, kept saying she'd like to see a bridge go across it. But she didn't submit a report.

Collis Adams said in looking at the photos, he doesn't think a bridge would work. The slopes are too gentle. But it's hard to tell from the photos.

Tim Fernwood said it's in a pretty good gully. He thinks it meets the states criteria for a Tier 1 crossing.

Collis Adams said that doesn't mean we can't comment in some manner.

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Evelyn Miller asked if we are confined to commenting to this permit. If she were going to walk it, she'd walk the whole thing again.

David Nieman suggested just commenting on these two crossings. The rest will come back to us.

Patty Gale said for the Planning Board, you won't have comment for their June 9<sup>th</sup> meeting. You want to walk the site first.

Tim Ferwood asked if they could do a site walk to accomplish both purposes so they don't have to go a third time.

David Nieman said in suggesting they focus on one thing at a time, he's not implying there should be a third site walk. He's just saying the priority. It's a little disappointing that we didn't have a full report.

Amy Pollock said we did just receive this permit application and we need to review it. They didn't have that or these plans for their site walk.

Collis Adams said it would be unusual for a Tier 1 stream drainage area to result in a perennial stream. Tier 1 to Tier 2 is the break between intermittent and perennial. If you are close to the 200 acre contributing drainage area, he would only expect to see a very small, small perennial stream. He wouldn't expect it to be larger until you get further up into the Tier 2 drainage area. He needs to look at it.

Tim Ferwood said the stream flows into the larger wetland area to the south. It goes into 3 or 4 different channels before confining back into one channel before leaving the property. It is really small leaving the property. It is smaller leaving the property than where they are crossing.

Collis Adams said that could be if there is detention in the wetland areas.

Tim Ferwood said it could also be absorbed into the ground there.

Evelyn Miller said during run off it would be quite a stream. She asked the applicant to provide them with one large plan they could take a look at. They will do the whole piece of property, looking at this application, and for comment to the Planning Board for the whole subdivision. We will have to let you know when we have it scheduled.

Patty Gale said she would send out an email to schedule the site walk. If more than three of you do a site walk, she will have to post it and someone will have to do a summary for the minutes.

Evelyn Miller suggested an email to all members regarding writing an official report to send to Patty Gale after a site walk.

Collis Adams said that is a pretty nice stream. To throw this culvert into it may not be enough. He couldn't read anything from the stream stats they sent out. If you miss it by just a little bit, it can change everything. One of the lots had a whole lot of wetlands on it.

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Evelyn Miller said she had trouble with the visual. It's broken into piece. He's not showing the whole lot.

David Nieman said it was a lot of information we received.

**Minutes: April 28, 2016.**

Approval of the minutes was deferred until the next meeting.

**Budget: The Conservation Open Space Fund at Citizen's Bank has a balance of \$174,890.96. The Special Milfoil Warrant Article has a balance of \$15,000.00. The People's United Bank CD is at \$275,000.00. The Operating Budget Balance is at \$4,789.36.**

**Open Space Committee Report:**

David Nieman said they are still working on the closing for the Black Brook project. One grant, the ARM from DES, has to be signed off by the governor and Executive Council. We thought it had gone through until they found a clerical error. It will be dealt with at one of three possible dates in June, and then we can finally close on it. We have to keep paying interest on the loan while we wait for this to happen. It is being paid by PLC at the moment.

Collis Adams said they needed \$70,000 from the ARM fund but there was only \$55,000 left. They can transfer some funds but it can take time, and then has to go back to the governor and Executive Council. Laurie Summers is working on it. It will happen, probably in June. We can't predict when the governor and council will hold their meetings, especially in the summer.

David Nieman said the other aspect of that project is that an Eagle Scout has come forward to create a kiosk off of Shanty Road. That will allow us to have a nice trail map and post notices for all the different funding sources.

Evelyn Miller commended David Nieman for the work he's done.

David Nieman said there are now five properties in the area—the original Black Briar, this Black Brook project, the Finke project one property away, the Preston property that was given to the PLC, and that abuts the tract that is owned by the town. Abutting the town parcel on the other side is the Martel property that was given to the Forest Society. This is building a nice, beautiful, contiguous area. Now we are focusing in on getting this one closed, and getting the trail network in on these two properties. Then we can think about what to do with the rest.

Evelyn Miller said more and more people are using the trails. It's wonderful to see people out all year round. She's sure that this part of town will be heavily used.

David Nieman said there is a lot of interesting habitat out there.

**Milfoil: Invoice Submitted by Namaske Lake for Milfoil Treatment by Solitude Lake Management for \$2,785.00.**

Patty Gale said this would be the first part of the \$15,000.

**Barbara Schult made a motion to recommend release of the \$2,785.00 to pay for the Milfoil Treatment. David Nieman seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0-0. All in favor. Motion carries.**

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

**Correspondence:**

**Copy of Alteration of Terrain Permit for DPW for Map 5 Lots 24, Town of Goffstown Sports Fields.**

Patty Gale said it's an ongoing project off of Elm Street and will take 15 years to complete. Meghan Theriault will come in if you want her to.

David Nieman said a prime wetland is in that area, along Harry Brook. He'd like to see how close it is.

Collis Adams said they are aware of it, but we need to make sure. It's a phased plan. It depends on a lot of things.

The Board consensus was to have the Town Engineer, Meghan Theriault, come in for the next meeting.

**Intent to Cut Permit for Map 5 Lots 13-2, 32-4 & 33, and Map 8 Lot 1, Locust Hill Road, Owner: Alyson Carpentier, Trustee.**

**Town & City Magazine.**

**Forest Notes Magazine.**

**NH DES Alteration of Terrain Permit and Storm water Management Report for Self-Storage Phase II, Map 5 Lots 56-1, Tatro Drive. (Part of the Plan review.)**

**Abutter notification of public hearing on rail trail use after dusk.**

Patty Gale said you've received notification because you must have land that abuts the Rail Trail. There are no more public hearings.

Collis Adams said it is currently dawn to dusk but they are trying to make it 24/7. As a courtesy we held public hearings which were heavily attended. On June 6<sup>th</sup> is the vote.

**Request from Andrew Cadorette of PRLAC, asking Conservation Commission to recommend to the Board of Selectmen to keep Map 34 Lot 127-1 as a portage site.**

Evelyn Miller said this is a piece of property that came before us in December 2012. It is off of Mill Street and goes down to the Piscataquog River. It is right below the dam. At that time the Conservation Commission recommended the Town maintain ownership of the property for recreational use.

Collis Adams said the town has taken it for failure to pay taxes and wants to sell it or get a recommendation for some other use.

David Nieman said when you are on Glen Lake, you can come up to the base of the falls. But there is no portage site to go further. From here you could walk a short distance for a portage. The question is it's very steep. That wouldn't be a portage-able site on that slope. It's a narrow strip, not a buildable lot. He was thinking that the Eagle Scouts could construct stairs to walk down it to make it a portage.

Collis Adams said he thinks we should retain ownership of it. We've always taken the position that the town should retain the waterfront properties that become available.

**Barbara Schult made a motion to recommend to the Board of Selectmen to retain the property for future waterfront access. David Nieman seconded the motion.**

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

David Nieman said the slope can be dealt with by an Eagle Scout project. It's not the perfect landing site given there are a lot of rocks. The area you'd be pulling out is not the easiest to navigate. It would get used but would probably have little traffic as a portage site.

**VOTE: 4-0-0. All in favor. Motion carries.**

**Plan Review:**

**Lot Line Adjustment/Self Storage Phase II, Langley, Map 5 Lots 56, 56-1 & 56-5.**

Patty Gale said that goes before the Planning Board tomorrow. Their application includes the storm water management report, which Meghan Theriault reviews. Plans that come in to Planning you can comment on. They didn't request to come in. They just submitted the plans to everyone. They are going to consolidate and do a lot line adjustment. It will give them more room on lot 56-1. Lot 56-5 will become part of the lot that already has the self-storage on it. It will go from being just over 4 acres to being more than 11 acres.

Evelyn Miller said the site the storage units are on looks like an old gravel pit. When she walked the site she didn't see any water in the area he is proposing these now. Our biggest concern when they put up this first building was to save trees. The Rail Trail goes by there. Her comments would be landscaping—to put in nice landscaping that will provide a buffer from the Rail Trail. It should be significant. It is a gateway into our town.

Collis Adams said there is a landscaping plan. It shows loam and seed between the Rail Trail and the facility.

David Nieman said there is a steep slope and visibility from the road.

Patty Gale clarified that the Board consensus was that they want a buffer along the Rail Trail, adequate landscaping, and to ask them to come in and make a presentation.

Amy Pollock said they are requesting a waiver from the minimum landscaping requirements.

**YMCA Site Amendment, 116 Goffstown Back Road, Map 6 Lot 18.**

Patty Gale said they are on the Planning Board agenda for June 9<sup>th</sup>. They changed from going off the side of the building to the rear of the building.

Collis Adams said there are no concerns in his opinion.

Patty Gale said this was already approved. When they went to build they realized the cost was more than they originally thought and now they are proposing to build off the back of the building rather than the side. It got put off because the Fire Department had a concern about access. That has since been addressed. Nothing else has really changed. The Landscaping hasn't changed.

**Barbara Schult made a motion to find the Conservation Commission has no issues or concerns. Amy Pollock seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0-0. All in favor. Motion carries.**

**Time Extension Request on Approved Site Plan for Gas Station/Dunkin Donuts on Goffstown Back Road, Map 6 Lot 1-3. (Needs more Time to build.)**

GOFFSTOWN CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
MINUTES TO MEETING OF MAY 25, 2016

Collis Adams said he sees no issues of concern.

**David Nieman made a motion that the Conservation Commission finds they have no issues or concerns. Amy Pollock seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0-0. All in favor. Motion carries.**

**Miller's Landing Site Plan, Map 35 Lot 35, Condominiums on Elm Street Requesting (Elmer Pease) to Reinstate Approvals**

The Conservation Commission reviewed the proposed site plan.

Patty Gale explained that the only changes were to the buildings but the drainage and utilities are proposed as the same.

The Commission had concern given it's proximately to the river and requested that the applicant come to the next meeting on June 22, 2016, to explain the project to the Commission.. The Commission will provide comments to the Planning Board after their June 22, 2016 Meeting.

**Barbara Schult made a motion to adjourn. David Nieman seconded the motion. VOTE: 4-0-0. All in favor. Motion carries.**

The meeting adjourned at 9:12 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Gail Labrecque  
Recording Secretary

These minutes are subject to approval by the Conservation Commission.